

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,492	02/13/2001	Magdy S. Abadir	SC11403TS	9497	
759	7590 11/05/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Motorola, Inc.,			THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, ANNETTE M	
Austin Intellectual Property Law Section 7700 West Parmer Lane, MD: TX32/PL02			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin. TX 78729			2825		

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• Advisory Action		Application No.	(pplicant(s)				
		09/781,492	ABADIR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. M. Thompson	2825				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.🖾	5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit , b)⊡ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7 .⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: 11 and 18.						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-10,12-15,17,19-22 and 24-31</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		100				
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	$\angle ()$				
	Other:	(A. M. Thompsen Master's Level Pate Art Unit: 2829	nt Examiner			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/781,492

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) The Declaration is considered untimely (MPEP 716.01); 2) The application lists two of the inventors of the technical paper used in the 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) rejection but only 1 declaration signed by one named inventor was provided.